Case 2:06-cv-03640-AHS-OP Document 51 Filed 01/02/08 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:55

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME T. WILSON,
Petitioner,

vs.

S. JOHNSON, T. CRAWLEY, D. TAYLOR, K. T. SMITH, GEORGE FREITAS, B. CURRY, and J. R. CONTOS,

Respondents.

Case No. CV 06-3640-AHS (OP)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the has reviewed the Motion to Dismiss, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. The Court concurs with and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

IT IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for failure to exhaust state remedies with respect to the claim for damages against Defendant Johnson, relating to allegations of Defendant Johnson's deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's medical needs, and directing that Judgment be entered dismissing that claim without prejudice; (2) granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss with respect to the claims against Defendants Curry, Taylor, and Freitas based on a theory of *respondeat superior*, and dismissing those claims with leave

to amend; (3) granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for failure to allege sufficient facts with respect to the claims against Defendants Crawley, Taylor, Freitas, Curry, and Contos, and dismissing those claims with leave to amend; (4) granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's claim for retaliation against Defendant Johnson for failure to plead the lack of a legitimate correctional goal, and dismissing that claim with leave to amend; (5) denying Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's due process claim against Defendant Smith; and (6) denying Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages.

If Plaintiff wishes to proceed on the only remaining claim relating to the due process claim against Defendant Smith, he may do so. However, if Plaintiff still wishes to pursue the claims that are subject to dismissal with leave to amend, he shall have thirty days from the date of the entry of Judgment within which to file a First Amended Complaint, attempting to cure the defects in the original Complaint. The First Amended Complaint shall be complete in itself and must remedy the deficiencies discussed. Plaintiff may not use "et al." in the caption but must name each defendant against whom claims are stated. Defendant Taylor, now deceased, should not be named as a Defendant. Furthermore, Plaintiff must use the blank Central District Civil Rights Complaint form accompanying this order, must sign and date the form, must completely and accurately fill out the form, and must use the space provided in the form to set forth all of the claims that he wishes to assert in his First Amended Complaint. The Clerk is directed to provide Plaintiff with a blank Central District Civil Rights Complaint form. The First Amended Complaint shall not refer to the original Complaint.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

Case 2:06-cv-03640-AHS-OP Document 51 Filed 01/02/08 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:57